

APEX and SmartDB Stepby-Step



Anton Nielsen

- Vice President Insum
- anielsen@insum.ca

- Formerly
 - President, C2 Consulting
 - Technical Directory, Oracle
 - Consultant, Coopers and Lybrand (now PWC)
 - Captain/Scientist, US Air Force



Agenda

- What is the Thick Data Paradigm?
- Goals Related to APEX in this Paradigm
- A Recipe for Implementing the Thick Data Paradigm with APEX
- Drawbacks
- Discussion



Overview

- PL/SQL
 - Bryn Llewellyn discussed merits of PL/SQL and the "thick database" approach
 - Later rebranded as SmartDB
 - Toon Koppelaars set up focus on performance gains

- Application to APEX
 - My focus is applying this concept to Oracle APEX
 - Consider the apex.oracle.com blueprints



- Correctness (and Security)
- Performance
- Ease of Development
- Ease of Maintenance
- Instantiating the Thick Database Paradigm to Ensure these Goals are Met



Recipe - Ingredients

- Thought and Planning
- At Least Two Schemas
- One Workspace (with its own schema)
- Tables
- Simple Views or Editioning Views
- Logic in PL/SQL packages
- Views with Instead Of Triggers*
- Patience



^{*}We will have a lot of discussion on this.

Step 1: Consider Multi-User Concurrency (MUC)

- Optimistic vs Pessimistic Locking
- Will front end developers be responsible for managing (MUC)?
- How will you ensure correctness?

 For our example we will use an Object Version Number (OVN) column



Step 2: Store the Data

- Create a schema to hold data: GLOC_DATA
- Create tables, indexes, sequences, triggers*
- Name tables TABLE_NAME_RT
 - DEPARTMENT_RT
 - EMPLOYEES RT
- Front End developers will never interact with the _RT tables
- Back End developers will never interact with the _RT tables
- This schema is the domain of a good DBA



^{*}If you like triggers. These may belong on the next slide.

Step 3: Consider Edition Based Redefinition (EBR)

- If using EBR, for each table create an editioning view.
- If not using EBR, for each table create a standard view.

- For my example I will create standard views.
- Discussion Should EBR be in the same schema as the data?



Step 4: Create Schema for Logic

- Create a schema for database (pl/sql) developers: GLOC_LOGIC
- Grant select on VIEWS (EVs) with grant option* to GLOC_LOGIC
- Grant insert, update, delete on VIEWS (EVs) to GLOC_LOGIC
- Grant select on sequences GLOC_LOGIC
- Create private synonyms for DATA objects (optional)
- *The grant option will be used to allow front end developers access to data
- Note: Take care to use CREATE OR REPLACE on views going forward. Do NOT drop and recreate as synonyms become invalid.



Step 5: Create Logic

- Discussion: Table APIs
- Beyond TAPIs: Consider "Give everyone in IT a 5% raise"
- Consider triggers vs API logic (see insert code)

```
l_id number := p_id;
begin
   if l_id is null then
      l_id := GLOC_seq.nextval();
   end if;
```

Logic should be created in the LOGIC schema



Schema Design (so far)

LOGIC APIs

- Grants to Views/EVs
- Select grants with grant option
- Grants to Sequences

DATA

Views (CRUD) or EVs
Data Storage Objects (Tables, Indexes)



Step 6: Create Workspace (Finally!)

- Create APEX Workspace in another schema: GLOC
- As GLOC_LOGIC
 - grant execute on GLOC_tapi to GLOC;
 - Demonstrate: grant select on employee view;
- Create synonyms as GLOC (optional)
 - synonyms for GLOC_logic APIs;
 - synonyms for GLOC_logic views
- Note: GLOC could be completely unaware of GLOC_DATA, but the APEX builder will show the views as owned by GLOC_DATA

A Word About Security

- Security should be implemented at multiple levels
 - Multiple Schemas
 - Logic defined in packages in the database
 - Access restricted to packages & Views
- SQL Injection, Post Data Tampering, etc.
 - You can not rely on browsers and front end developers to secure your data



Schema Design

APEX Workspace
Packages Related to UI Only

Logic

APIs

DATA
Views (CRUD) or EVs
Data Storage Objects (Tables, Indexes)

- CRUD Grants to Select Views*
- Grants to APIs
- *We will replace this with a different approach in a subsequent step (don't really do this!)
- Grants to Views/EVs
- Select grants with grant option
- Grants to Sequences



Step 7: Make the Workspace Work

- At this point, the workspace is functional, but lacks features
- What works
 - Reports
 - Forms Based on APIs owned by GLOC_LOGIC
 - Interactive Grids with Select Only
- What doesn't work
 - Interactive Grids with Insert, Update or Delete
 - Anything that references a ROWID
 - Forms based upon a table or view (automated DML operations)



Step 7: Make the Workspace Work (ctd)

end:

 As the LOGIC user, Create Views with instead of triggers create view employee crud as select * from employee create or replace trigger employee crud bi intrg instead of insert on employee crud begin GLOC tapi.ins employee(p id => null , p emp name => :NEW.emp name , p department id => :NEW.department id , p salary => :NEW.salary);



Step 7: Make the Workspace Work (ctd)

- Unfortunately! In order to allow the GLOC user to insert, update and delete into the new CRUD views, with "instead of" triggers, the GLOC_LOGIC user must have grant option.
 - Also, "instead of" triggers disappear when view is recreated
- GLOC_DATA must grant with grant option.
- GLOC_LOGIC grants select, insert, update, delete on _CRUD to GLOC



Schema Design (revised)

APEX Workspace
Packages Related to UI Only

- Grants to Views with Instead of Triggers
- Grants to APIs

Logic

APIs

- Grants to Views/EVs
- Select grants with grant option
- Grants to Sequences

DATA
Views (CRUD) or EVs
Data Storage Objects (Tables, Indexes)



- If you are satisfied with APEX features that don't require Automated DML or updatable Interactive Grids, you can stop at "select"
- If you want additional APEX automation, create views with Instead Of triggers that exercise the logic in your APIs



Unfortunately...

- Some things still don't work
 - Add row in Interactive Grids requires PK to be set in the IG
 - Returning Value in Automated DML
 - ROWIDs
- You can't use the "returning" clause with a view with an Instead Of trigger
- You can't add a ROWID column, named ROWID, to a view



Discussion

- What is the value in separating schemas?
 - Data
 - Editioning Views
 - Logic
 - Presentation / Workspace
- Do views with Instead Of triggers encourage bad practices?
- When are the trade-offs just not worth it?
- When would you create tables just for the presentation layer?
 - Do these require similar levels of "correctness"?

